A HISTOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE HEPATIC AND RENAL EFFECTS OF SUBCHRONIC, LOW DOSE ORAL MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE IN SWISS ALBINO MICE. 3

- Adejoke Yetunde ONAOLAPO¹, Olakunle James ONAOLAPO², Tolulope Josiah MOSAKU¹
 Onigbinde, Oluwanisola Akanji¹ and Oyeleke Abiodun¹
- 6

8 The effects of low dose, subchronic oral monosodium glutamate (MSG) on the histology 9 of the liver and kidneys were studied. This was to determine if MSG consumption at these doses 10 is associated with histological evidence of hepatic or renal injuries. Forty adult Swiss albino 11 mice weighing between 20-25 mg were assigned into 4 groups A, B, C and D. Group A served as 12 control and received normal saline while groups B, C and D received MSG daily at 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mg/kg dissolved in normal saline respectively for 28days. On day 29 animals were sacrificed; 13 14 liver and kidneys removed were processed for histological study. Statistical analysis was by one way ANOVA followed by a posthoc test, results were expressed as mean ±S.E.M. MSG 15 consumption is associated with a dose- dependent albeit non statistically- significant increase in 16 17 body weight compared to control, significant increase in relative liver weight occurred at 1 and 18 1.5 mg/kg and significant increase in relative kidney weight at 1.5 mg/kg. Liver and kidney 19 histology showed loss of normal liver architecture with varying degrees of disorganization and 20 apoptotic cell death, contraction of the renal glomerulus and thickening of the walls of the renal 21 tubules. The study concluded that MSG at low doses causes hepatic and renal injuries.

22 Keywords: Glutamate, Anatomy, Pharmacology, Morphology.

23

24 1.0 INTRODUCTION

25 Monosodium glutamate (MSG) is a naturally occurring sodium salt of glutamic acid 26 which was initially synthesized from wheat gluten but now produced in commercial quantities by 27 bacterial fermentation (Leung and Foster, 2003). It is an important building block of protein 28 (Pasquini and Berardelli, 2009). MSG is found in some quantity in many natural food substances and as either an additive and flavour enhancer in many commercially packed food products. 29 30 MSG is useful in both home and restaurant cooking and it is a common component of Asian diets (Walker and Lupien, 2000). The unique flavour and taste of this compound has been 31 32 categorized and established as a separate taste sensation "umami" taste (Ikeda, 1909). It is 33 marketed in Nigeria as Ajinomoto, other trade names include: Vetsin, Accent and Tasting 34 powder. MSG is composed of white colourless odourless crystals that exist in two forms called 35 enantiomers although only the L forms are used as flavouring agents (Leung and Foster, 2003). The liver plays an important role in the metabolism of glutamate, some glutamate is 36 37 converted here into lactate while the kidney takes part in its elimination although some MSG is 38 metabolised by conversion into alanine in the intestinal mucosa (Garattiini, 2000).

MSG is ingested daily either as a component of naturally occurring food substance or as a food 39 additive although only about $^{1}/1000$ of the total mass of glutamate present in our tissues comes 40 41 from exogenous glutamate sources (Hodgson, 2001). Daily dietary composition of glutamate 42 varies from one race to another, however daily oral consumption ranges from 0.5 mg/kg amongst 43 Americans and over 3g/kg in Taiwanese diets (Zhou et al., 2003; He et al., 2008; Shi et al., 44 2010), the quantity of MSG consumed by Nigerians we believe would fall somewhere between 45 1-2.5 g/day, Most of the glutamate found in our diet is from natural sources usually a diet rich in 46 protein (Hodgson, 2001).

47 Recent reports unequivocally support the school of thought that there is no difference between
48 the MSG added to foods and the glutamate that occurs naturally in foods (FASEB, 1995).

49 Up until recently most reports on the possible adverse reactions to MSG in the literature have 50 been from case reports and not experimental studies, with most symptoms being transient and 51 not life-threatening, there however has been some documentations on the various effects of MSG 52 on organ systems although this studies have used MSG at doses significantly higher than the 53 daily average consumptions /person.The neurotoxic effects of MSG was first demonstrated in 1957 by Lucas and Newhouse (Lucas and Newhouse, 1957), they observed retinal degeneration 54 55 that was a sequelae of intravenous injection of MSG in infant mice. Several other studies have 56 also reported severe neuropathological effects of MSG on animals at significantly high doses. 57 Park and his colleagues (Park et al., 2000) reported significant injury involving the neurons in 58 the arcuate nucleus and hypothalamus following the administration of a single intraperitoneal injection of MSG, impaired memory retention in adult mice was also noticed . González-Burgos 59 reported two important effects resulting from neonatal exposure to MSG the first was an initial 60 61 excitotoxicity that resulted in cell death followed by a neuroprotective effect which saw the proliferation of glial cells and the subsequent uptake of glutamate hence favouring survival of the 62 63 remaining neurons (Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2001). Studies have also demonstrated deleterious 64 effects on the cerebellum evidenced by tremor, unstable and uncoordinated movements and 65 ataxia as well as varying degrees of renal injury following consumption of high doses of MSG (Eweka, 2007). Research on the safety of MSG has undergone rigorous review by scientific 66 67 advisory bodies and various national governments. The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on 68 Food Additives (JECFA) evaluation in 1987 declared L-glutamate safe by arriving at an 69 "Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) not specified" this was also reaffirmed in 2004 (JECFA, 1987;

JECFA, 2004). The term "ADI not specified refers to the total dietary intake of glutamates that is as a result of their use at the quantitity necessary to achieve the desired effect as food additives, and from the normal naturally occurring quantity in food is not hazardous to health (JECFA, 2004).

74 During an earlier study on the neurobehavioural effects of MSG(Onaolapo and Onaolapo, 2011),

some histological changes were noticed in the liver and kidneys of some of the animals randomly

76 selected necessitating a full evaluation of its effect on liver and kidney microanatomy at doses

77 well below those known to be toxic.

78 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHOD

79 2.1 EQUIPMENTS AND APPARATUS

80 Electronic precision balance, plastic animal cages, sterile disposable syringes (1, 5, and 10 ml)
81 and needles and cotton wool.

82 2.2 REAGENTS AND DRUGS

Normal Saline, 99% monosodium glutamate (Ajinomoto brand) was purchased from the market, weighed and dissolved in measured volume of isotonic saline solution to get desired concentrations. MSG at the varying doses (0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mg/kg) (Onaolapo and Onaolapo, 2011) was administered orally using a cannula.

87 2.3 ANIMALS

Healthy adult Swiss albino mice purchased from the Empire Animal farms in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria were used. The animals weighed between 20 and 25 g. After being weighed on an electronic balance, the animals were randomly divided into four treatment groups. The animals were housed in plastic cages measuring 16"x12"x10" (10 mice in each cage). All animals had free access to food and water *ad libitum*. They were maintained under standard laboratory conditions that is a well aerated room with alternating light and dark cycles of 12 h
each and at room temperature of 25°C. The experimental protocol was approved by the Ladoke
Akintola University Animal Ethics Committee. All rules applying to animal safety and care
were observed.

97 2.4 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

98 This research work was carried out between October and November 2011 in the 99 Histology laboratory of the department of Anatomy, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology Ogbomosho. Forty animals were used for the experiment. The animals were randomly assigned 100 101 into four groups A, B, C and D. Group A was the control and received normal saline. Groups B, 102 C and D received MSG orally at 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mg/kg respectively for a period of 28 days. 103 Animals were weighed weekly using a Mettler weighing balance (Mettler Toledo Type BD6000, 104 Greifensee, Switzerland). At the end of the experimental period rats were observed for changes in their physical characteristics and then sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the liver and 105 kidneys of each of the animals dissected out through a midline abdominal incision passing 106 107 through the abdominal wall musculature into the peritoneal cavity. The organs were observed 108 grossly and then fixed in 10% formolsaline for histological studies. Paraffin sections were cut 109 and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin for general histological study. An Olympus BX50 110 digital light microscope was used to examine the slides and acquire photomicrographs.

111

2.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

112 All behavioral data were analyzed using the one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) 113 followed by post hoc tests (Student Newman Keul's) carried out to determine the source of a 114 significant effect. Results were expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M., p<0.05 is taken as accepted level of 115 significant difference from control.

116 **3.0 RESULTS**

117 3.1 THE EFFECTS OF MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE ON BODY WEIGHT

Figure 1 represents the mean body weight taken weekly over the 28 day period. Result showed progressive increase in the body weight in all treatment groups. There was however no significant difference in growth proportion within the groups throughout the experimental period. Comparison of the final body weight with the initial body weight in each group revealed a dose related decrease in percentage weight gain in the groups that received MSG. The animals in groups B, C and D had a percentage weight change of 21.25, 15.88 and 12.06% respectively compared to control group (23.37%). These differences were however not significant.





Figure 1: Effect of MSG (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 mg/kg) on weekly body weight. Each bar represents Mean
±S.E.M,* p ≤ 0.05 compared to the control, n=10. A control, B, C and D received MSG at 0.5,
1.0, 1.5 mg/kg respectively.

130 3.2 THE EFFECTS OF MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE ON RELATIVE LIVER AND131 KIDNEY WEIGHT

Figure 2 represents the mean relative liver and kidney weight following administration of MSG.
There was a significant dose dependent increase in relative liver weight in the groups that
received MSG at 1 and 1.5 mg/kg respectively compared to control while the relative kidney
weight increased significantly at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg compared to control.





Figure 2: Effect of MSG (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 mg/kg) on the mean relative liver and kidney weight. Each bar represents Mean \pm S.E.M,*p \leq 0.05 compared to the control, n=10. A control, B, C and D received MSG at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 mg/kg respectively

141 3.3 THE EFFECT OF MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE ON LIVER MICROANATOMY

Sections through the liver of group A animals (Plate 1), showed sheets of radially arranged hepatocytes with well demarcated nuclei and intervening sinusoids, normal central vein and hepatic artery, features in keeping with normal histology. Examination of the sections through livers of animals in groups B (plate 2), C (plate 3) and D (plate 4) showed loss of liver architecture with varying degrees of liver parenchymal disorganization, cell death, dilation of the 147 central vein and presence of inflammatory cells within and around the central vein there were148 also variations in the sizes and shapes of the nuclei, vacuolation and pyknosis (Plate 1).

149 3.4 EFFECTS OF MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE ON KIDNEY MICROANATOMY

Sections through the kidneys of group A (Plate 5) animals showed normal kidneys with well demarcated cortex, medulla, normal Bowman's capsule and glomerulus as well as normal sized renal tubules. The sections of kidneys of groups B (plate 6), C (plate 7) and D (plate 8) animals showed widening of the Bowman's space due to contraction of the renal glomerulus and hypercellularity

155 4.0 DISCUSSION

156 Monosodium glutamate is consumed in considerable amounts in almost all forms of 157 foods in Nigeria. MSG is one of the most extensively researched food additives in the world 158 (JECFA, 2004; FASEB, 1995). Results of studies continue to support the finding that at levels normally consumed as a flavor enhancer, MSG is safe for the general population (Hodgson, 159 160 2001). In this study we evaluated the effect of subchronic oral MSG on the body weight, relative 161 liver and kidney weight and liver and kidney morphology in mice. The results of our study 162 revealed that at the doses of MSG tested, there was a dose related increase in body weight 163 although the percentage weight gain reduced with increasing doses of MSG, the weight increase 164 observed in the control group however was slightly higher compared to the MSG treatment 165 group. A number of studies have examined the potential link between MSG and body weight. 166 There have been speculations that people tend to eat larger helpings of food with MSG because it 167 just tastes better than they would if the food did not contain MSG. Another school of thought 168 suggests that MSG might interfere with signaling systems that regulate appetite centres also up 169 scaling food consumption and hence weight gain initially and possibly obesity with chronic

consumption (Bergen et al., 1998; Mozes et al., 2004; Kawakita et al., 2005; Inuwa et al., 2011;
Bhattacharya et al., 2011; Tawfik and Al-Badr 2012).

172 A significant increase in liver and kidney weight was observed following administration of MSG 173 These could be as a result of increase in inflammatory activity with resultant tissue oedema, 174 some other studies have also documented this at higher doses of MSG (Tawfik and Al-Badr 175 2012). In this study the liver of experimental animals showed changes in histological pattern 176 evident by disruption of hepatic cords, presence of inflammatory cells within and around the central vein with uneven sizes of nucleus in hepatocytes. Quite a few reports on alteration in 177 178 liver histology and/or biochemistry have been documented although this studies used doses that 179 were way above the dose we chose for this study (Eweka and Om'Iniabohs 2011; Inuwa et al., 180 2011; Egbuonu et al., 2009; Ortiz et al., 2006). These results would mean that subchronic 181 administration of oral MSG results in alteration in the hepatic structure that are comparable with those of studies that used doses that were at least 100-1000 times the doses in this study 182

183 Kidney microanatomy in groups that received MSG (B, C and D) compared to controls showed 184 dilatation of the Bowman's space, contraction of the renal glomerulus and hypercellularity which 185 are in keeping with renal injury, this corroborates results of studies carried out in 2007 by Eweka 186 (Eweka, 2007). He investigated the effects of MSG on the kidney of adult Wistar rats given 3g 187 and 6g of MSG thoroughly mixed with growers mash for the period of fourteen days, results of 188 kidney microanatomy showed varying degrees of cytoarchitectural distortion and reduction in 189 the number of renal corpuscles in the treated groups which was at variance with that of the 190 control group. Ingestion of MSG resulted in cellular necrosis of the Bowman's capsule, at a dose 191 of 6 g degeneration and atrophy of the kidneys were seen, he concluded that high doses and 192 chronic ingestion of MSG resulted in the degenerative and atrophic changes observed in the renal

193 corpuscle, although we used lower doses of MSG than was used by Eweka the progressive renal194 injury at increasing doses of MSG was evident.

195 The effects observed in both the liver and kidneys could have occurred because these organs are 196 involved in the metabolism of glutamate or as in another study it may be due particularly in the 197 liver exacerbation of trans-fat induced fatty liver disease in mice by a mechanism that includes 198 increased central adiposity and alterations in both hepatic and white adipose tissue gene 199 expression (Collison et al., 2009). MSG has been reported to increase oxidative stress and some 200 studies have also documented amelioration of the hepatotoxic or nephrotoxic effects by the administration of radical scavengers such as vitamin E or C (Faronmbi and Onyeama, 2006; 201 202 Onyema et al. 2006). The risk of hepatic or renal injury may have been increased because MSG 203 was administered as a bolus, this school of thought is supported by Takasaki et al., who while 204 studying the mechanisms by which glutamate induced brain injury came to the conclusions that in order to produce neurotoxic effect in infant mice MSG has to be given not only in relatively 205 high concentration but also as a bolus solution (Takasaki, 1978). 206

207 **5.0 CONCLUSIONS**

This study concluded that MSG at doses tested resulted in varying degrees of liver and kidney injury. It is important to note that all doses of MSG used in most published studies were very high and if MSG at the doses we have studied showed some evidence of organ injury, and then more research needs to be conducted to verify the safety profile of this widely used food additive.

- 213
- 214
- 215

216 **REFERENCES**

- 217 1. Bergen H.T, Mizuno T.M and Taylor J., (1998) "Hyperphagia and Weight Gain after Gold-
- 218 Thioglucose and Monosodium Glutamate: Relation to Hypothalamic Neuropeptide,"
- 219 *Endocrinology*, Vol. 139, No. 11, pp. 4483-4488. http://dx doi:10.1210/en.139.11.4483
- 220 2. Bhattacharya T, Bhakt A and Ghosh H.M (2011) Long term effect of monosodium glutamate
- in liver of albino mice after neo-natal exposure Nepal Med Coll J., 13(1): 11-16
- 222 3. Collison KS, Maqbool Z, Saleh SM, Inglis A, Makhoul NJ, Bakheet R, et al (2009). Effect of
- dietary monosodium glutamate on trans fat-induced nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Lipid
 Res, 50(8):1521–37.
- 4. Egbuonu A.C.C, Obidoa O, Ezeokonkwo C.A, Ezaeanyika L.U.S, Ejikeme P.M(2009);
 Hepatotoxic effects of low dose oral administration of monosodium glutamate in male
 albino rats. Afr. J. Biotech, 8(13):3031-3035.
- 5. Eweka A.O. (2007); Histological studies of the effect of monosodium glutamate on the
 kidney of adult Wistar rats, Internet J. of Health, 6 (2) ISSN: 1528-8315.
- Eweka AO, Om'lniabohs FAE (2011); Histochemical studies of the effects of Monosodium
 glutamate on the liver of wistar rats. Annals Med Health Sci Res; 1: 21-9
- 232 7. Farombi E.O and Onyema O.O(2006); Monosodium glutamate induced oxidative damage and
- geno toxicity in rat modulatory role of vitamin C, vitamin E and quercetin: Human Exptl
 Toxicology, 25:251-259 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1191%2</u>.
- 8. Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology (FASEB) (1995). Analysis of
 adverse reactions to monosodium glutamate (MSG). Bethesda, MD: Life Sciences Research
 Office.
- 9. Garattiini S. (2000); Glutamic Acid, Twenty Years Later. J Nutr, 130: 9018-98.

239	10. Gonzalez-Burgos I, Perez-Vega MI, Beas-Zarate C (2001); Neonatal exposure to
240	monosodium glutamate induces cell death and dendritic hypotrophy in rat prefrontocortical
241	pyramidal neurons. Neurosci. Lett., 297(2): 69-72 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2
242	11. He K, Zhao L, Daviglus ML, Dyer AR, Van Horn L, Garside D, Zhu L, Guo D, Wu Y, Zhou
243	B, Stamler J. (2008) Association of monosodium glutamate intake with overweight in Chinese
244	adults: the INTERMAP Study. Obesity. 16(8): 1875–1880
245	12. Hodgson Aurora Saulo, (2001) Some facts about monosodium glutamate (MSG), Foods and
246	Nutrition FN-8.
247	13. Ikeda K.(1909) On the taste of the salt of glutamic acid. J Tokyo Chem Soc 30: 820-36.
248	14. Inuwa H.M, Aina V.O, Baba Gabi, Aim I. ola and Leehman Ja'afaru (2011); Determination
249	of Nephrotoxicity and Hepatoxicity of Monosodium Glutamate (MSG) Consumption British
250	Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology 2(3): 148-153, ISSN: 2044-2467
251	15. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECAF) (1987) Evaluation of
252	certain food additives: Thirty -first report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food
253	Additives. WHO technical report series; 928, pg 108
254	16. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECAF) (2004) Evaluation of
255	certain food additives: sixty-third report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food
256	Additives. WHO technical report series; 928, pg 108
257	17. Kawakita, T., S. Chiaki, S. Shigeru, T. Masahiro and Y. Shizuko, (2005); Monosodium
258	Glutamate. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry
259	18. Leung AY, Foster S, (2003) "Monosodium Glutamate". Encyclopedia of Common Natural
260	Ingredients: Used in Food, Drugs, and Cosmetics (2nd Ed.). New York: Wiley, pp. 373-375
261	ISBN 978-0-471-47128-8.

262	19. Lucas	s, DR, Nev	whouse	e, JP. (195	/); The tox	ac effect	of sodium L-glutam	ate on	the
263	inner	layers	of	retina.	AMA	Arch	Ophthalmology,	58(2)	193-201
264	http://dx.doi.org/10.1001%2.								

~ ~ ~

cc

- 265 20. Mozes S, Sefcikova Z, Lenharde L and Raeek L.,(2004) "Obesity and Changes of Alkaline
- Phosphatase Activity in the Small Intestine of 40-80-Day Old Subjects to Early Postnatal
 Overfeeding of Monosodium Glutamate," *Phy- siological Research*, Vol. 53, pp. 177-186.#
- 268 21. Onaolapo Olakunle James and Onaolapo Adejoke Yetunde (2011): Acute low dose
 269 monosodium glutamate retards novelty induced behaviours in male swiss albino mice. Journal
 270 of Neuroscience and Behavioral Health Vol. 3 (4), pp. 51 56 ISSN 2141-2286 Academic
 271 Journals.
- 272 22. Onyema O.O, Farombi E.O, Emerole G.O, Ukoha A.I, Onyeze G.O (2006); Effect of
 273 vitamin E on monosodium glutamate induced hepatotoxic and oxidative stress in rats.
 274 Indian J. Biochemistry. Biophysiology, 43: 20-24
- 275 23. Ortiz G.G, Bitzer-Quintero O.K, Zarate C.B (2006). Monosodium glutamate induced
 276 damage in liver and kidney; A morphological and biochemical approach. Biomedicine
 277 Pharmacotherapy, 50: 86-91.

278 24. Park CH, Choi SH, Piaoa Y, Kim S, Lee Y, Kim, Jeong S, Rah J, Seo J, Lee J, Chang K,

- Jung Y, Suh Y: (2000); Glutamate and Aspartate impair memory retention and damage
 hypothalamic neurons in adult mice, Toxicol. Lett., 115(2): 117-125
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2.
- 282 25. Pasquini M and Berardelli I (2009); Anxiety levels and related pharmacological drug
 283 treatment: a memorandum for the third millennium. Ann Ist Super Sanita, 45:193-204.

284	26. Shi Z, Luscombe-Marsh ND, Wittert GA, Yuan B, Dai Y, Pan X, Taylor AW. (2010)
285	Monosodium Glutamate is not associated with obesity or a greater prevalence of weight gain
286	over 5 years: findings from the Jiangsu Nutrition Study. Br J Nutr. 104(3):457-463

- 287 27. .Takasaki Y, Matsuzawa Y, Iwata S, O'hara Y, Yonetani S, Ichimura M (1979);
- 288 Toxicological studies of monosodium L-glutamate in rodents; relationship between routes of
- administration and nneurotoxicity. In: Glutamic Acid: Advances in Biochemistry (Filer LJ.
- Garattini S. Kare MR.Reynolds WA. Wurtman RJ. Eds.), pp. 255–275. Raven Press, New
 York.
- 292 28. Tawfik Manal Said , Al-Badr Nawal (2012) Adverse effects of monosodium glutamate on
- liver and kidney functions in adult rats and potential protective effect of vitamins C and E
 Food and Nutrition Sciences, 3, 651-659 doi:10.4236/fns.2012.35089
- 29. Walker R and Lupien JR. The safety evaluation of Monosodium Glutamate J. Nutr., 2000,
 30(4S):1049S-1052S
- 297 30. Zhou BF, Stamler J, Dennis B, Moag-Stahlberg A, Okuda N, Robertson C, Zhao L, Chan Q,
- Elliott P. (2003) Nutrient intakes of middle-aged men and women in China, Japan, United
 Kingdom, and United States in the late 1990s: the INTERMAP study. J Hum Hypertens.
- 300 17(9):623–30
- 301

302